

CONFIDENTIAL

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Wednesday - 1 February 1967

Page 2

25X1 4. [] Extended invitations to Congressmen Edwin Eshleman (R., Pa.), John Zwach (R., Minn.), Donald Lukens (R., Ohio), and Lawrence Williams (R., Pa.) to attend the 8 February breakfast briefing at the Agency. Mr. Lukens will not be able to attend because of a previous commitment. We will hear from the others tomorrow.

25X1 5. [] Attempted to set up an appointment with the Civil Service Commission for final review of our new proposed language for our retirement legislation.

25X1 6. [] Called William Woodyear, Department of State, to confirm our earlier impression that the so-called Viet Nam war amendments would not be incorporated in omnibus legislation as suggested in the President's message on 31 January but that they would be presented as a separate bill for consideration by Senate Foreign Relations and House Foreign Affairs. AID will be the executive agent for the legislation, probably Mr. William Hall, and Woodyear said that he would continue in his back-up role.

25X1 7. [] Mr. David Brandwein, D/FMSAC, called to advise that he had been requested by NASA to brief Mr. James Webb, Administrator of NASA, on Friday on the Soviet Space Program, probably in connection with Mr. Webb's upcoming testimony on the NASA budget.

25X1 8. [] Talked with Russ Blandford, House Armed Services Committee staff, inquiring if a firm date has been set for the Agency to appear. He said he had talked to the Chairman as recently as half an hour ago, i. e., 4:14 p. m. and no firm date has been set. He repeated that he planned to have McNamara on Thursday and Friday dealing only with the supplemental but it is possible this will run over to the following week. Their general plan still is to try to have the Agency appear before McNamara starts on the 1968 authorization hearing.

25X1 9. [] Mr. Michaels, House Appropriations Committee staff, called to inquire if the Agency could handle the Defense Subcommittee hearing on the 15th instead of the 9th of February. After checking this with the Director, I advised that this was satisfactory.

CONFIDENTIAL